IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1049 of 2005(M/B)

1. Smt. Komal Sumra
   w/o Sri Manish Sumra
2. Manish Sumra
   s/o Late Sri Brij Lal Sumra
   Both r/o 1/6 Krishna Nagar.
   Kankhal, P.S. Kankhal,
   District Haridwar. …… Petitioners.

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through
2. Senior Superintendent of Police,
   Haridwar, District Haridwar.
2. Station House Officer, P.S. Jawalapur,
   District Haridwar.
3. Iqbal Ahmad s/o Raheemuddin
   r/o Mohalla Chauhanan, P.S. Jawalapur,
   District Haridwar. …… Respondents.

……

Sri Yogesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Sri Vivek Shukla, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
None for respondent no.3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Yogesh Sharma, Advocate on behalf of Sri Vivek Shukla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

None for respondent no.3.

2. Sri Pande, the learned Additional Government Advocate, submits that the writ petition filed by petitioners-Smt. Komal Sumra and Manish Sumra seeking quashing of the First Information Report and the case registered against them has not become infructuous as the police after completion of the investigation has filed charge-sheet against the petitioners before the concerning Court.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, interim order dated 25.10.2005 stands vacated automatically.

5. With the above order, CLMA No. 9910 of 2005 also stands disposed of.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 13.07.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 13.07.2006

A